

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/737,910	13	2/15/2000	Mark B. Olson	2438	
75	90	09/11/2003			
James N. Videbeck				EXAMINER	
Patnaude & Vic		.	LU, JIPING		
Court C	·				
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3749		
				DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9				
	,	09/737,910	OLSON, MARK B.	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Jiping Lu	3749					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap							
Period fo	• •							
THE No. 1 THE NO. 2 THE NO. 3 THE NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/2	<u>0/2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow							
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
I '	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) 🗆 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
· -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 25.11.8.0. \$5.440 and 430.	xammer.						
l <u> </u>	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	en priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110/c) (d) or (f)					
1 .	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	to have been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
* \$	application from the International Book the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatior	٦).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *						
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
I S. Patent and Tr								

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-2, 4-20 are pending. Claims 18-19 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621)

Robertson, III shows a clean air filter and delivery apparatus comprising an air return means 1, an air filter means 2, a blower 13, an air supply means 4, a flexible duct 3 and a second filter cartridge 7 which are arranged same as claimed. Reither teaches a single inlet backward curved centrifugal fan same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the single inlet backward curved centrifugal fan of Reither for the fan 13 of Robertson, III in order to provide a high efficiency.

4. Claims 2, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621) as applied to claim 1 as above, and further in view of Schlor (U. S. Pat. 6,164,457).

Art Unit: 3749

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III as modified by Reither as above includes all that is recited in claims 2,7 except for a first filter cartridge having a frame including upper and lower surfaces and an elongate sheet of filter media extending substantially perpendicularly to and between the upper and lower surfaces. Schlor teaches a filter cartridge with upper and lower surfaces and elongate sheet of filter 13 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the filter cartridge 21 of Schlor for the filter cartridge of Robertson, III in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621) as applied to claim 1 as above, and further in view of Wolfert (U. S. Pat. 4,762,053)

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, II as modified by Reither as above includes all that is recited in claims 5-6 except for the mesh grill for the airflow through the filter cartridge. Wolfert teaches a mesh grill 64 for the air flow through the filter cartridge same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a mesh grill as taught by Wolfert in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

6. Claims 8-10, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621) as applied to claim 1 as above, and further in view of Shanks et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,328,776).

Art Unit: 3749

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III as modified by Reither as above includes all that is recited in claims 8-10, 20 except for the flexible duct positioned between the air filter means and the blower. Shanks et al. teaches an air purifying system for filtering and re-circulating room air comprising flexible duct 22 positioned between air filter means 14A, 14B and blower 12 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson ,III with a flexible duct between air filter means and the blower as taught by Shanks et al. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

7. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621) as applied to claim 1 as above, and further in view of Greenleaf, Sr. (U. S. Pat. 5,417,729).

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson as modified by Reither as above includes all that is recited in claims 11-12 except for the airtight hollow box including a circular inlet and a circular outlet having a first filter cartridge therein. Greenleaf, Sr. teaches an air cleaning system having an airtight box 12 with circular inlet and outlet 14, 16 and filter means 59, 69 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with an air tight box for filter cartridge as taught by Greenleaf, Sr. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

8. Claims 13-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621), Schlor (U. S. Pat. 6,164,457) and Shanks et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,328,776).

Art Unit: 3749

Robertson, III shows a clean air filter and delivery apparatus comprising an air return means 1, an air filter means 2, a blower 13, an air supply means 4, a flexible duct 3 and a second filter cartridge 7 which are arranged same as claimed. Reither teaches a single inlet backward curved centrifugal fan same as claimed. Schlor teaches a filter cartridge with upper and lower surfaces and elongate sheet of filter 13 same as claimed. Shanks et al. teaches an air purifying system for filtering and re-circulating room air comprising flexible duct 22 positioned between air return means 14A, 14B and blower 12 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the single inlet backward curved centrifugal fan of Reither for the fan 13 of Robertson, III, to substitute the filter cartridge 21 of Schlor for the filter cartridge of Robertson, III and to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a flexible duct between air return means and the blower as taught by Shanks et al. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency. With regard to the claimed rigid spiral ductwork in claim 17, it would been an obvious matter of design choice to design the ductwork of Robertson, III with any desired material in order to obtain the optimum result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed rigid spiral ductwork solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill and it appear that the claimed feature does not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art since, the ductwork of Robertson, III will perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with the ductwork having any kind of the material.

Page 5

9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, IΠ (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621), Schlor (U. S. Pat. 6,164,457) and

Art Unit: 3749

Shanks et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,328,776) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Greenleaf, Sr. (U. S. Pat. 5,417,729).

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III as modified by Reither, Schlor and Shanks et al. as above includes all that is recited in claim 15 except for the air tight hollow box including a circular inlet and a circular outlet having a first filter cartridge therein. Greenleaf, Sr. teaches an air cleaning system having an airtight box 12 with circular inlet and outlet 14, 16 and filter means 59, 69 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with an air tight box for filter cartridge as taught by Greenleaf, Sr. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) in view of Reither (U. S. Pat. 4,913,621), Schlor (U. S. Pat. 6,164,457) and Shanks et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,328,776) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Wolfert (U. S. Pat. 4,762,053)

The clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III as modified by Reither,
Schlor and shanks et al. as above includes all that is recited in claims 16 except for the mesh grill
for the air flow through the filter cartridge. Wolfert teaches a mesh grill 64 for the air flow
through the filter cartridge same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one
having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the clean air filter
and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a mesh grill as taught by Wolfert in order to
improve the air cleaning efficiency. With regard to the claimed number of fans and air supplies,
it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to design the fans and air supplies with

Art Unit: 3749

any desired number in order to obtain optimum air cleaning result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed number of fans and air supplies solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Second, the applicant argues that Schlor shows a rigid frame filter. However, the claims do not exclude a reading of a reference with a ridge frame filter. Third, with regard to claims 8-10 and 20, patent to Robertson, III (U. S. Pat. 4,955,997) as modified by Reither shows all claimed elements in claim 1 except for the flexible duct positioned between the air filter means and the blower. The patent to Shanks et al. clearly shows an air purifying system for filtering and re-circulating room air comprising flexible duct 22 positioned between air filter means 14A, 14B and blower 12 same as claimed. Therefore, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art would be able to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a flexible duct between air filter means and the blower as taught by Shanks et al. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency. Fourth, with regard to claims 11, 12, the applicant argues the filter in Greenleaf patent is different. However, the applicant failed to point out from the claims exactly what is missing from the Greenleaf patent. Fifth, the applicant argues there is no teaching to combine the references in the rejection of claims 13, 14, 17, the examiner cannot agree. Schlor teaches a filter cartridge with upper and

Art Unit: 3749

lower surfaces and elongate sheet of filter 13 same as claimed. Shanks et al. teaches an air purifying system for filtering and re-circulating room air comprising flexible duct 22 positioned between air return means 14A, 14B and blower 12 same as claimed. Therefore, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art would be able to substitute the filter cartridge 21 of Schlor for the filter cartridge of Robertson, III and to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a flexible duct between air return means and the blower as taught by Shanks et al. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency. With regard to the claimed rigid spiral ductwork in claim 17, it would been an obvious matter of design choice to design the ductwork of Robertson, III with any desired material in order to obtain the optimum result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed rigid spiral ductwork solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious. Sixth, the applicant argues that there is no teaching to combine the references in the rejection of claim 15. the patent to Greenleaf, Sr. teaches an air cleaning system having an airtight box 12 with circular inlet and outlet 14, 16 and filter means 59, 69 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to derive the broadly claimed invention by providing the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with an air tight box for filter cartridge as taught by Greenleaf, Sr. in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency. Lastly, the applicant also argues that the Wolfert patent does not disclose a filter mounting on a suspended ceiling. Again, the examiner cannot agree. Wolfert teaches a mesh grill 64 for the air flow through the filter cartridge same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to provide the clean air filter and delivery apparatus of Robertson, III with a mesh grill as taught by Wolfert in order to improve the air cleaning efficiency.

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308-7764 for regular communications and 703 308-7764 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

Jiping Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

J.L. September 8, 2003